Adaptive Quality Management Model for Holistic, Personalized Secondary Education Transformation

Swaraj Bandhu Maity [1]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.

Dr. Anil Kumar Yadav [2]

[2] Associate Professor, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.

ABSTRACT

In the face of rapidly evolving educational demands and diverse learner needs, traditional quality management approaches in secondary education often fall short. This study proposes a pedagogical model for adaptive quality management that prioritizes student-centered flexibility, continuous data-driven improvement, collaborative stakeholder engagement, and holistic educational outcomes. The model emphasizes personalized learning pathways and real-time instructional adjustments based on formative assessments and learning analytics, ensuring responsiveness to individual student progress. Collaborative involvement of teachers, students, parents, and community stakeholders enriches educational relevance and shared accountability. Furthermore, the model advocates for holistic development, integrating social, emotional, and ethical growth alongside academic achievement. Through shifting from static quality standards to a dynamic, participatory framework, the model supports equity, motivation, and lifelong learning skills essential for preparing students for the complexities of the twenty-first century. This framework offers a transformative vision for secondary education, fostering resilient, adaptable learners equipped to thrive in an uncertain and changing world.

Keywords : Adaptive Quality Management, Student-Centered Learning, Data-Driven Improvement, Holistic Education.

1. INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by rapid technological advancements, evolving societal needs, and growing diversity among learners, the demand for high-quality educational experiences in secondary schools has never been more pressing. Educational institutions are increasingly challenged to ensure that learning activities remain meaningful, equitable, and responsive to students' varied abilities and aspirations. Traditional static models of educational quality management often fail to accommodate the complex, dynamic nature of today's classrooms [1]. In this context, a pedagogical model for adaptive quality management of

educational activities emerges as an essential framework to guide secondary school educators and administrators in delivering relevant and impactful learning experiences. Adaptive quality management refers to a flexible, student-centered, and evidence-based approach to planning, implementing, monitoring, and improving educational activities. Unlike rigid quality management systems, adaptive models emphasize responsiveness to feedback, ongoing data-driven adjustment, and continuous collaboration among stakeholders. At the heart of this model lies the belief that learning should not be standardized to the point of stifling students' unique needs, but instead should be dynamically tailored to support their growth and holistic development [2]. Through leveraging real-time data, fostering continuous feedback loops, and enabling teachers to adjust instructional strategies, adaptive quality management aligns educational activities with the diverse trajectories of secondary school students. Pedagogically, the model is anchored in principles of inclusivity, differentiation, and personalized learning. It empowers teachers to recognize and accommodate variations in learning styles, prior knowledge, cultural backgrounds, and socio-emotional competencies. Moreover, it acknowledges that the quality of education cannot be measured solely through academic achievement but must also account for broader developmental outcomes such as critical thinking, collaboration, and social responsibility [3-4]. Therefore, adaptive quality management aims to promote a well-rounded educational experience that supports not only cognitive growth but also emotional and social well-being. Implementing such a pedagogical model requires several interlinked components. First, it calls for diagnostic and monitoring systems capable of capturing meaningful data about students' progress, engagement, and challenges. This could involve formative assessments, student surveys, teacher observations, and advanced learning analytics tools. Second, it demands a flexible curriculum design that allows teachers to modify learning pathways and resources based on evidence. Third, the model depends on professional development programs that equip educators with the skills to interpret data, adjust teaching strategies, and foster collaborative learning environments. Finally, adaptive quality management necessitates a robust feedback culture, involving students, parents, teachers, and community stakeholders in decision-making processes to ensure that educational activities remain relevant and effective. Through applying an adaptive pedagogical model, secondary schools can more effectively address achievement gaps, respond to emerging educational trends, and promote equity among diverse student populations. This approach fosters a culture of continuous improvement, where educational quality is viewed not as a fixed target but as an evolving, participatory process. In doing so, it better prepares students for the uncertainties and opportunities of the twenty-first century, nurturing not only their academic potential but also their capacity for lifelong learning and responsible citizenship. Ultimately, the pedagogical model for adaptive quality management represents a transformative vision for secondary education, bridging the gap between standardized systems and the genuine needs of individual learners in a rapidly changing world [5].

1.1 Student-Centered Flexibility

Student-Centered Flexibility lies at the heart of an adaptive pedagogical model, ensuring that the educational experiences provided to secondary school students genuinely reflect their diverse abilities, interests, backgrounds, and aspirations. Rather than adhering to rigid, uniform standards that may overlook individual differences, this approach allows educators to modify content, instructional methods, and pacing in real time to meet the dynamic needs of learners. Student-centered flexibility acknowledges that each student possesses a unique combination of prior

knowledge, learning styles, cultural contexts, and socio-emotional competencies, which must be respected and addressed to promote equitable learning opportunities. Teachers, therefore, become facilitators of learning rather than mere transmitters of information, continually adapting lesson plans and activities to resonate with students' evolving interests and challenges. Strategies such as differentiated instruction, project-based learning, and personalized learning pathways become powerful tools within this flexible system, providing multiple entry points and diverse ways for students to engage with content. Furthermore, this flexibility supports inclusive education by making accommodations for students with special needs or those from marginalized backgrounds, removing barriers that might otherwise hinder their participation or achievement. By placing students at the center of educational design and implementation, the model encourages greater engagement, motivation, and ownership of the learning process, which research has shown to correlate strongly with improved outcomes. Flexibility also promotes a growth mindset among students, who learn to see challenges not as failures but as opportunities to adapt and improve. Ultimately, student-centered flexibility fosters a learning environment where every learner feels valued, supported, and empowered to reach their fullest potential. In a world where change is constant and unpredictable, cultivating such adaptable and resilient learners through flexible, student-driven approaches is not just beneficial but essential for their lifelong success and responsible participation in society [6-8].

1.2 Continuous Data-Driven Improvement

Continuous Data-Driven Improvement is a cornerstone of adaptive quality management in secondary education, enabling schools to create responsive and evidence-based learning environments.

Real-Time Monitoring and Adjustment: This model prioritizes the ongoing collection and analysis of data to monitor students' progress, engagement, and areas of difficulty. Formative assessments, classroom observations, student surveys, and digital learning analytics all contribute valuable information that teachers can use to diagnose learning needs as they emerge. Instead of waiting for summative end-of-term evaluations, educators can make immediate, informed instructional adjustments to address knowledge gaps, support struggling students, and challenge advanced learners. This real-time approach prevents students from falling behind, as timely interventions can be deployed to keep all learners on track. Moreover, it provides a clear picture of how different instructional methods perform with diverse groups of students, empowering teachers to refine their practices continuously.

Evidence-Informed Decision Making: Beyond simply gathering data, the adaptive model emphasizes the systematic interpretation and use of evidence to guide instructional planning, curriculum refinement, and resource allocation. Data becomes a powerful tool for identifying trends and patterns such as low engagement in particular subjects, gender-based performance differences, or the impact of extracurricular support which can inform targeted strategies to improve educational quality. Through encouraging educators to use data to challenge assumptions and biases, the model supports a more equitable and transparent decision-making process. Involving students in reviewing their own performance data further empowers them to take responsibility for their learning, building

skills in self-assessment and goal setting. Ultimately, continuous data-driven improvement fosters a culture of accountability and innovation, where teachers and school leaders work collaboratively to refine educational practices in the best interest of every learner, ensuring that quality education is both adaptable and sustainable over time.

1.3 Collaborative Stakeholder Engagement

Collaborative Stakeholder Engagement forms a vital pillar of an adaptive pedagogical model, recognizing that high-quality education cannot be achieved in isolation but must be co-created through active partnerships among teachers, students, parents, administrators, and the wider community. Involving diverse stakeholders ensures that educational activities reflect not only curriculum standards but also the lived experiences, expectations, and cultural contexts of learners, fostering relevance and inclusivity. When teachers and parents maintain open channels of communication, they can share valuable insights about students' strengths, interests, and challenges, allowing for more personalized and effective interventions. Students themselves, as primary beneficiaries of education, should be empowered to voice their opinions, participate in decision-making, and co-design aspects of their learning journey, which boosts their motivation and sense of agency. Likewise, school administrators and policymakers play a crucial role in providing the structural and policy-level support required for adaptive quality management, such as allocating resources for teacher training, technological infrastructure, and community partnerships. Engaging community members and local organizations can further enrich educational activities by bringing in real-world experiences, mentorship opportunities, and culturally relevant knowledge, thereby bridging the gap between the school environment and the world beyond its walls. Collaborative stakeholder engagement also promotes shared accountability, where the responsibility for student success is distributed rather than resting solely on teachers. This shared responsibility nurtures a sense of ownership and trust among all participants, leading to a more supportive and resilient educational ecosystem. Moreover, ongoing stakeholder collaboration creates opportunities for continuous feedback and iterative improvement, ensuring that adaptive educational practices remain dynamic and responsive to evolving needs. Through embedding collaboration into the heart of adaptive quality management, schools can cultivate a holistic educational culture that values diversity, leverages collective expertise, and prioritizes the well-being and success of every learner. Ultimately, a collaborative approach transforms educational quality management from a top-down mandate into a participatory, empowering process that equips secondary school students with the skills, confidence, and community connections they need to thrive in a complex and ever-changing world [9].

1.4 Holistic Educational Outcomes

Holistic Educational Outcomes represent a critical dimension of adaptive quality management, ensuring that education supports the full development of secondary school students rather than limiting itself to academic achievement alone.

Beyond Academic Success: Social, Emotional, and Ethical Growth: A key feature of the holistic outcomes approach is its emphasis on nurturing students' social, emotional, and ethical development alongside cognitive skills. In today's complex and interconnected world, young people must learn to manage emotions, build healthy relationships, make responsible decisions, and develop empathy and respect for diversity. These skills are vital for their personal growth, resilience, and future participation as responsible citizens. An adaptive pedagogical model supports this by embedding social-emotional learning (SEL) and ethical reasoning into everyday educational activities. Teachers can integrate teamwork, reflective exercises, and value-based discussions into their lessons, encouraging students to explore moral dilemmas, practice conflict resolution, and understand the consequences of their choices. Such practices help students build a strong sense of identity and purpose, equipping them with the confidence and emotional intelligence needed to navigate challenges both inside and outside school.

Cultivating Lifelong Learning and Transferable Skills: Holistic educational outcomes also prioritize the development of transferable skills and a lifelong learning mindset. Rigid rote learning fails to prepare students for a future marked by constant change, where adaptability and continuous skill development are essential. Adaptive quality management encourages inquiry-based learning, problem-solving, critical thinking, and creativity, helping students become flexible thinkers capable of applying their knowledge in diverse contexts. Opportunities such as project-based learning, cross-disciplinary activities, and community involvement expose students to real-world challenges, encouraging them to connect theory with practice. Moreover, by fostering self-directed learning skills, adaptive educational models empower students to take charge of their own educational journeys, enhancing their motivation and engagement. This shift away from purely exam-focused education supports a broader, richer vision of success where students see themselves as capable, curious, and prepared to contribute positively to society. Ultimately, prioritizing holistic educational outcomes through an adaptive pedagogical model means preparing secondary school students not just for examinations, but for meaningful, fulfilling lives as competent, compassionate, and adaptable members of their communities and the global world [10-13].

2. RELATED REVIEWS

Vindigni (2023) had examined concerns that traditional, lecture-based teaching methods might not have sufficiently fostered the integrated competencies required by modern professional practice, observing a disconnect between conventional pedagogy and desired learning outcomes that had spurred growing interest in innovative, student-centered instructional models focused on competence development. The author had noted that nuanced variations in competence acquisition across different university curricula had remained underexplored, with much of the research relying mainly on students' self-assessments. To address these gaps, the study had adopted longitudinal mixed-methods designs incorporating theory triangulation and investigator triangulation to better capture how professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions evolved across varied curricula and contexts. Emphasis had been placed on adaptive and re-adaptive teaching strategies integrating technology, personalization, and experiential learning to connect skill development with contextual conceptual

understanding. Particular attention had been given to professional programs such as design, media, and communications, where contemporary competence models emphasized capabilities extending beyond core conceptual knowledge. Findings from this work had aimed to inform reform initiatives to strengthen professional competence development across diverse academic disciplines.

Abu-Rasheed et al. (2023) had reported that learning personalization had demonstrated its effectiveness in improving learner performance, which was why modern digital learning platforms had increasingly relied on recommendation systems to provide learners with personalized suggestions for learning materials. Learners had been able to use those recommendations to acquire skills relevant either to the labor market or to formal education. Personalization had been described as being based on various factors, including personal preferences, social connections, or the learning context. In educational settings, the learning context had been considered particularly significant for generating recommendations that not only aligned with learners' preferences but also supported pedagogical objectives. This context had referred to the learner's situation at the time of requesting recommendations, encompassing aspects such as their current knowledge, goals, motivation, needs, available time, and other relevant factors influencing how recommendations were perceived and used. Context-aware recommender systems had been recognized as having the potential to emulate the reasoning a learning expert might apply when advising students. Over the previous decade, numerous approaches had emerged to define learning context and identify the factors capturing it, resulting in diverse definitions of contextualized learner profiles. The paper had reviewed state-of-the-art methods for defining users' learning context, offered an overview of existing definitions and factors, and explored their connections to pedagogical foundations in learning theories. By integrating pedagogical and technical perspectives, the authors had sought to provide a comprehensive understanding of contextualized learning and to bridge the gap between both domains in contextualizing learning recommendations.

Dunu (2023) had observed that education was regarded as the only sustainable and veritable instrument for national and human development, as it was believed to promote diverse aspects of human endeavour, including technological, economic, social, and political progress, while fostering peace, unity, and trust among people, societies, and nations globally. It had been argued that knowledge, skills, wealth, good health, and longer, happier lives were all products of education. Nevertheless, despite these clear and tangible attributes of education and its potential benefits for a nation and humanity, there had been a general consensus that the Nigerian education system was plagued by numerous factors impeding its advancement, such as poor infrastructural development, chronic underfunding, inadequate teaching personnel, an outdated curriculum, and ineffective institutional strategies and materials. As a consequence, graduates from Nigeria's secondary and post-secondary institutions were often described as unemployable and severely deficient in both theoretical knowledge and practical competencies. The paper had thus examined and evaluated ways of enhancing quality education through innovative pedagogy, while offering suggestions for adaptive pedagogical strategies aimed at improving teaching and learning outcomes.

Christodoulou and Angeli (2022) had discussed the design and implementation of e-TPCK, a self-paced adaptive electronic learning environment intended to foster teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK). They had described how the system utilized technology to engage teachers in personalized learning through technology-infused design scenarios. Their experimental study had revealed that participants using e-TPCK had outperformed those receiving traditional instruction on two design tasks, supporting the view that technology-enhanced approaches could more effectively promote TPCK development. Additionally, the adaptive guidance provided by worked-out examples had led to mathemagenic learning effects among novice student-teachers, while more experienced participants had benefited primarily in the early stages of using the system. The authors had further noted that the instructional techniques embedded in e-TPCK became less effective as learners' expertise increased, offering evidence of the expertise reversal effect. Overall, their findings had been considered promising for the potential of personalized learning in teacher education and had underscored the importance of designing adaptive learning technologies that accounted for learners' prior knowledge and evolving expertise.

Crispín et al. (2022) had examined school management from the perspective of educational management, highlighting the importance of administrative performance and leadership in achieving objectives set by the Ministry of Education. Their study had aimed to determine how managerial performance and pedagogical leadership influenced school management oriented toward learning in educational institutions of East Lima. Employing a quantitative approach with a non-experimental cross-sectional design, the researchers had included secondary-level teachers as participants and had relied on probability sampling and surveys for data collection, which were subsequently analyzed using ordinal logistic regression. The findings had indicated a 55.9% dependency between the variables overall, with managerial performance showing a 72% influence and pedagogical leadership demonstrating a 73% impact. It had been concluded that school management focused on learning was dependent on both managerial performance and pedagogical leadership, which in turn had contributed positively to student learning outcomes.

Hertz et al. (2022) had examined how, throughout their careers, teachers had encountered continual changes in education policy, societal trends, and evolving pedagogical philosophies, which had required them to adapt and innovate their practices consistently. The authors had suggested that this process was often driven by an inherent motivation to advance, either by deepening subject expertise or aspiring toward leadership and specialist roles. They had emphasized that providing effective support and opportunities for teachers to develop and apply their competencies had been seen as essential to sustaining motivation and maintaining professional standards. Nevertheless, it had been reported that many teachers across Europe had struggled to access meaningful continued professional development, given the competing demands on their time and energy. While on-site courses offering peer learning had remained popular, these had been neither cost-effective for large-scale implementation nor practical during pandemic-related restrictions. In light of these challenges, the article had explored the pedagogical model of the European Commission's Teacher Academy online courses, discussing how a collaborative and effective approach to online professional development could have been cultivated to meet the needs of both teachers and education systems.

Kotelevets (2021) had devoted the study to examining the modeling of processes of adaptive management in forming students' digital competence during primary education, where the key aspects of the theoretical foundations of managing socio-pedagogical systems had been revealed. The author had described the principles and approaches to management in general and adaptive management in particular, explaining that the essence of managing social and pedagogical systems had consisted in purposeful influences on the managed subsystem to achieve order. It had been determined that the main task of such management lay in ensuring the purposefulness, consistency of operation, and development of the subsystem. The essence of adaptive management had been specified as relying on dialogic adaptation processes between managed and managing subsystems while incorporating classical management characteristics. The research had described the characteristics of the key definitions and presented a model structure of adaptive management of forming digital competence, comprising interconnected components designed to illustrate this process in supporting students' life success. This model had been constructed on the basis of system and activity approaches, applying certain modeling stages, and it included four blocks: target, theoretical, content-technological, and final-reflexive. It had also been noted that the pedagogy of partnership was considered a key element in the New Ukrainian School's formula and an integral part of the adaptive management model.

Senhorinha et al. (2021) had observed that society had been undergoing scientific, technical, and social progress, which had required higher education to be constantly renewed with greater quality, and they had indicated that distance education (EAD) had allowed the emergence of new learning forms by employing new technologies and the internet to increase the population's education level, improve professional qualifications, and train specialists in various fields of knowledge. However, they had noted that despite these facilities and technological advances, EAD had shown evasion rates of around twenty-five percent, prompting the question of what critical pedagogical management factors had contributed to such high dropout levels. Their study had aimed to identify these critical factors affecting undergraduate course evasion in EAD and had been conducted at a higher education center in northern Santa Catarina state. They had applied an analytical method to determine and compare the key variables with existing literature, using statistical correlation as the main tool to interpret the results. Among the critical factors associated with pedagogical management, adaptation to distance education and the provision of support and feedback had been highlighted as essential aspects to reduce evasion.

Vilchez-Sandoval et al. (2021) had reported that the COVID-19 pandemic had impacted the world in multiple areas, with education being greatly affected across all levels. They had noted that Peru had declared a state of emergency in the first quarter of 2020, prompting universities to implement adaptation plans for educational services. The authors had suggested that, after the pandemic, education would likely not return to its previous form, as virtual learning seemed poised to remain a permanent feature. Recognizing this shift, they had emphasized the need to identify good practices to leverage the benefits of remote teaching. Consequently, their study had aimed to apply the flipped classroom pedagogical model using the Moodle Learning Management System as an alternative approach for virtual instruction in a communication networks course. The article had presented the preliminary findings of this research.

Cavanagh et al. (2020) had reviewed how, although adaptive learning had been emerging as a promising technology to enhance access and quality in higher education at scale, its implementation in teaching and learning had still remained sporadic, and there had been uncertainty regarding the most effective ways to design and deliver adaptive learning courses in that context. As early adopters, a team comprising instructors, instructional designers, and administrators at the University of Central Florida had identified five key design features that formed an adaptive learning design framework intended to guide the distinct course development process. These features had involved deliberate design and development efforts believed to yield significant benefits for student learning. The authors had aimed to present this framework and associated best practices from both systemic and pedagogical perspectives, describing their application within UCF. They had also shared the rationale and classification system the institution had adopted to ensure a shared understanding of the term "adaptive learning" across campus. The paper had provided insights into the design, delivery, and implications of employing adaptive learning systems in higher education courses at a public research university and had attempted to document the lessons learned and best practices accumulated since the project's launch in 2014.

Hussein and Al-Chalabi (2020) argued that pedagogical agents in e-learning could significantly enhance the quality of teaching, assessment, and learning processes by employing appropriate agents. They emphasized that pedagogical agents designed for adaptive e-learning systems aimed to offer personalized experiences to students from diverse backgrounds, with varying learning styles and educational needs. Their study highlighted the critical role of pedagogical approaches in such systems, suggesting that selecting personalized and relevant pedagogical agents could improve the effectiveness of adaptive e-learning through tailored learning activities, content delivery, and communication. They concluded that this personalization would foster an adaptive, pedagogy-centered e-learning environment where the adaptive capabilities of the system would enhance pedagogical effectiveness. Additionally, they discussed various pedagogical approaches applied in adaptive e-learning, including constructivist, collaborative, inquiry-based, integrative, reflective, objectivist, and competency-based methods.

Olifira and Synenko (2020) were reported to have examined the impact of global social shifts on the need for qualitative growth in staffing education across all levels. They emphasized that during periods of change, certification training and postgraduate education systems for managerial, pedagogical, and scientific-pedagogical workers were prioritized for implementing innovative approaches to developing new generations of educators and new professional growth strategies. Their study proposed the diversification of advanced training for education workers through consortium resources as a novel institutional form. They demonstrated the effectiveness of this model in coordinating inter-institutional cooperation in academic mobility, research, technological development, and innovation, thereby enhancing national education competitiveness internationally. By analyzing the Consortium of Postgraduate Education Institutions and the Ukrainian Open University of Postgraduate Education, they identified new opportunities for qualitative postgraduate education development through shared open educational technologies, collaborative resource creation, and new educational activity models. They further investigated and substantiated the

necessity for diversified professional development models in line with sustainable development goals and multi-vector institutional interactions via consortia. Ultimately, it was argued that adopting diversified institutional organization models in the digital society was essential for ensuring the continuity of professional development among education management and pedagogical staff, as well as for the broader educational system.

Huda et al. (2019) aimed to explore the transition of the modern learning environment (MLE) from secondary to tertiary education, focusing on the enhancement of pedagogical skills and adaptive technology to improve teaching and learning processes. They conducted a literature review using thematic analysis of books, journals, and conference papers, employing keywords such as pedagogical skill, adaptive technology, and modern learning environment. Their meta-synthesis revealed that MLE played several roles, including improving learning quality, integrating continuous learning processes, facilitating access to resources, and creating flexibility in learning. The study was expected to contribute insights on maintaining internal human factors essential for supporting teaching performance. Furthermore, it highlighted the necessity of combining pedagogical skills with technological tools to encourage effective learning environments and suggested that using experiential knowledge could enhance abstract ideas in higher education learning.

Ezhov et al. (2019) addressed the issue of pedagogical management of training quality for physical education specialists in higher education. They identified the theoretical and methodological foundations of the problem and proposed a conceptual model alongside pedagogical conditions for its implementation. The authors argued that professional expectations functioned both as a construct guiding the development of professional competence and as a product of mastering educational content. Their expectation approach framed pedagogical management as a process focused on altering and shaping these professional expectations within the educational process. Furthermore, their study grounded this approach in axiological, competence, and integrative components, which collectively underscored the multilevel and hierarchical nature of the theoretical and methodological bases for managing training quality, spanning general scientific to technological methodology levels.

Hedlund (2019) argued that after the end of the Cold War, many European countries had reduced their defense expenditures so drastically that they lost the capacity to defend themselves, which in turn increased the need for enhanced cooperation and interoperability among the armed forces of member states. He noted that a significant effort to address this challenge was made in 2008 with the establishment of the European Initiative for the exchange of young officers, designed to promote transparency and convergence in officer education across Europe. The article proposed a generic pedagogic model for a professionally academic officer education aimed at improving understanding and interoperability among EU armed forces. Hedlund suggested that this model could support the professionalization of the military by aligning officer education with both higher education requirements and the demands of military service.

3. FINDINGS FROM EXISTING REVIEWS

Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Objective	Findings
Vindigni (2023)	Longitudinal mixed-methods; theory and investigator triangulation	To examine how professional competencies evolve across university curricula and contexts.	Identified gaps in competence acquisition; emphasized adaptive, personalized, experiential teaching to enhance competence development.
Abu-Rasheed et al. (2023)	Literature review of digital learning platforms and recommender systems	To analyze learning personalization and context-aware recommendation systems.	Personalization improves learning; contextual factors critical; contextualized learner profiles bridge pedagogical and technical domains.
Dunu (2023)	Evaluative study using qualitative analysis	To assess challenges in Nigerian education and suggest adaptive pedagogical improvements.	Nigerian education hindered by infrastructure, funding, and curriculum issues; adaptive pedagogy recommended to improve quality.
Christodoulou & Angeli (2022)	Experimental study with self-paced adaptive e-learning system (e-TPCK)	To design and test an adaptive system to foster teachers' TPCK development.	e-TPCK outperformed traditional methods; adaptive guidance effective for novices; expertise reversal effect observed.
Crispín et al. (2022)	Quantitative, cross-sectional survey, ordinal logistic regression	To determine impact of managerial performance and pedagogical leadership on school learning management.	Both managerial performance and pedagogical leadership strongly influenced school management oriented to learning and outcomes.
Hertz et al. (2022)	Qualitative review and case analysis	To explore teacher professional development and motivation amid evolving education contexts.	Teachers face challenges in CPD access; online collaborative models like EC Teacher Academy support sustained development.
Kotelevets (2021)	Theoretical modeling and system-activity approach	To model adaptive management processes in developing students' digital competence in primary education.	Proposed adaptive management model integrating dialogic adaptation; pedagogy of partnership key in digital competence formation.

Senhorinha et al. (2021)	Statistical correlation analysis on dropout data from EAD program	To identify pedagogical management factors influencing undergraduate dropout in distance education.	Critical factors include adaptation to EAD and quality support/feedback; these reduce evasion rates.
Vilchez-Sandoval et al. (2021)	Case study applying flipped classroom model via Moodle LMS	To evaluate virtual teaching adaptation and identify effective remote learning practices post-COVID-19.	Flipped classroom with Moodle viable for virtual instruction; virtual learning likely to remain integral post-pandemic.
Cavanagh et al. (2020)	Case study, design framework development at University of Central Florida	To present an adaptive learning design framework and best practices for higher education courses.	Identified five key design features; shared adaptive learning definitions; emphasized systemic and pedagogical perspectives.
Hussein & Al-Chalabi (2020)	Literature review and conceptual analysis	To examine pedagogical agents in adaptive e-learning systems and their impact on personalization.	Pedagogical agents enhance adaptive e-learning effectiveness; integration of multiple pedagogical approaches improves learner outcomes.
Olifira & Synenko (2020)	Case study on consortium-based postgraduate education model	To propose diversified professional development models to enhance education staff competitiveness.	Consortium model improves inter-institutional cooperation, mobility, and innovation; vital for continuous professional development.
Huda et al. (2019)	Thematic literature review and meta-synthesis	To explore pedagogical skills and adaptive technology roles in modern learning environments.	MLE improves learning quality and flexibility; combining pedagogy and tech tools supports teaching performance.
Ezhov et al. (2019)	Theoretical and conceptual model development	To propose a pedagogical management model for training quality in physical education specialists.	Emphasized professional expectations shaping competence; integrative axiological and competence frameworks essential.
Hedlund (2019)	Conceptual model proposal	To develop a pedagogic model for academic officer education enhancing interoperability in EU.	Model supports alignment of military education with higher education standards and military service demands.

4. FINDINGS

The pedagogical model for adaptive quality management reveals that student-centered flexibility is essential for addressing the diverse abilities, backgrounds, and aspirations of secondary school learners. Strategies like differentiated instruction, personalized learning pathways, and project-based learning effectively engage students and foster a growth mindset, enabling teachers to adapt lessons in real time to meet individual needs. The model also highlights the importance of continuous data-driven improvement, where real-time formative assessments, observations, and learning analytics allow educators to identify learning gaps early and adjust instruction promptly. Involving students in reflecting on their own progress promotes self-assessment and motivation. Additionally, collaborative stakeholder engagement including active partnerships among teachers, students, parents, administrators, and community members ensures that educational activities are culturally relevant, context-sensitive, and enriched by diverse perspectives. Lastly, the model emphasizes holistic educational outcomes, nurturing not only academic achievement but also social, emotional, and ethical competencies, alongside critical thinking and transferable skills that prepare students for lifelong learning and responsible citizenship [14-17].

5. CONCLUSION

This adaptive pedagogical model presents a transformative framework for secondary education by shifting from rigid, standardized quality measures to a dynamic, flexible, and participatory approach. Through centering education on student needs and leveraging ongoing data to refine teaching, schools can promote equity, inclusivity, and engagement. The active collaboration of stakeholders fosters shared responsibility and trust, creating resilient educational ecosystems responsive to evolving learner and societal demands. Importantly, prioritizing holistic outcomes ensures that students develop the cognitive, emotional, and ethical capacities necessary to navigate complex challenges beyond the classroom. Overall, implementing this model supports the preparation of adaptable, motivated, and well-rounded learners equipped for success in the rapidly changing world of the twenty-first century.

REFERENCES

1. Vindigni, G. (2023). Adaptive and re-adaptive pedagogies in Higher Education: A comparative, longitudinal study of their impact on professional competence development across diverse curricula. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences*, *1*(4), 718-743.
2. Abu-Rasheed, H., Weber, C., & Fathi, M. (2023, July). Context based learning: a survey of contextual indicators for personalized and adaptive learning recommendations–a pedagogical and technical perspective. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 8, p. 1210968). Frontiers Media SA.
3. Dunu, B. T. (2023). Adaptive Pedagogy Innovations for Effective Secondary School Teaching in Post-Pandemics.
4. Christodoulou, A., & Angeli, C. (2022, June). Adaptive learning techniques for a personalized educational software in developing teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 7, p. 789397). Frontiers Media SA.

5. Crispín, R. L., Huayta-Franco, Y. J., Pimentel, J. F. F., Arenas, R. D., & Flores, E. (2022). Management performance, pedagogical leadership and school management in the learning. *MOJEM: Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Management*, *10*(2).

6. Hertz, B., Grainger Clemson, H., Tasic Hansen, D., Laurillard, D., Murray, M., Fernandes, L., ... & Rutkauskiene, D. (2022). A pedagogical model for effective online teacher professional development—findings from the Teacher Academy initiative of the European Commission. *European Journal of Education*, *57*(1), 142-159.

7. Kotelevets, K. (2021). Model of adaptive management of formation of digital competence basics of primary school students. *ScienceRise: Pedagogical Education*, (6 (45)), 24-28.

8. Senhorinha, M. J. K., Oliveira, M. A. D., Futami, A. H., & Valentina, L. V. D. (2021). Critical factors of pedagogical management that influence the evasion in higher education distance learning courses: a case study. *Gestão & Produção*, *28*, e4726.

9. Vilchez-Sandoval, J., Llulluy-Nunez, D., & Lara-Herrera, J. (2021, March). Work in Progress: Flipped classroom as a pedagogical model in virtual education in networking courses with the Moodle Learning Management System against COVID 19. In *2021 IEEE World Conference on Engineering Education (EDUNINE)* (pp. 1-3). IEEE.

10. Cavanagh, T., Chen, B., Lahcen, R. A. M., & Paradiso, J. R. (2020). Constructing a design framework and pedagogical approach for adaptive learning in higher education: A practitioner's perspective. *International review of research in open and distributed learning*, *21*(1), 173-197.

11. Hussein, A., & Al-Chalabi, H. K. M. (2020). Pedagogical agents in an adaptive E-learning system. *SAR J. Sci. Res*, *3*, 24-30.

12. Olifira, L., & Synenko, S. (2020). Consortium in Education: diversification processes and advanced training models in educational management and for pedagogical and scientific-pedagogical workers. *Adaptive Management: Theory and Practice. Series Pedagogics*, *8*(15).

13. Huda, M., Hashim, A., Teh, K. S. M., Shankar, K., Ayshwarya, B., Nguyen, P. T., ... & Maseleno, A. (2019). Learning quality innovation through integration of pedagogical skill and adaptive technology. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, *8*(9), 1538-1541.

14. Ezhov, S. G., Levina, I. D., Mizonova, O. V., Ukolova, L. I., Kuznetsova, M. A., Eniashina, N. G., & Bezborodova, L. A. (2019). Pedagogical management of the quality of training for a physical culture specialist in higher school: An expectation approach to the problem.

15. Hedlund, E. (2019). A generic pedagogic model for academically based professional officer education. *Armed Forces & Society*, *45*(2), 333-348.

16. Makarova, N. A., Dombrovskaya, E. A., Masalimova, A. R., Shvetsov, S. A., & Dugarova, T. S. (2018). Pedagogical model for adaptive quality management of educational activities among secondary school students. *Espacios*, *39*(20), 23.

17. Sharples, M., Adams, A., Alozie, N., Ferguson, R., Gaved, M., McAndrew, P., ... & Weller, M. (2014). *Innovating pedagogy 2014: Open University innovation report 3*. The Open University. https://iet.open.ac.uk/file/innovating-pedagogy-2014.pdf